This is a marketing communication

Liontrust SF Corporate Bond Fund: Q1 2022 review

Fund managers: Stuart Steven, Aitken Ross, Kenny Watson and Jack Willis

The Fund returned -6.4% over the quarter, outperforming -6.6% from the iBoxx Sterling Corporate All Maturities Index but underperforming the IA Sterling Corporate Bond sector average of -5.6% (both of which are comparator benchmarks)*[†].

Outperformance was primarily driven by the increasingly hawkish shift by central banks causing a sharp rise in government bond yields, with the Fund's underweight interest rate risk position benefiting materially.

Apart from a brief flight to quality by investors when the Russia-Ukraine war began, government bond yields rose markedly throughout the quarter, as central banks moved towards more restrictive monetary policy to combat higher inflation. UK 10-year gilt yields gained 64 basis points (bps) to finish the quarter at 1.61%, following two 25bps rate hikes from the Bank of England (BoE). German 10-year Bunds ended the period 73bps higher at 0.55%, entering positive territory for the first time since early 2019, while the US saw the biggest increase as 10-year Treasury yields rose 83bps to end Q1 at 2.34%, after a first rate hike by the Federal Reserve in more than three years. This backdrop meant our underweight exposure to interest rate risk delivered strong performance, with the Fund, on average, around two years short duration relative to its benchmark over the quarter. Active duration management was also key, with around 40% of the short expressed through the US market, which has materially underperformed relative to the UK.

This strong return from rate positioning more than offset underperformance from the credit portfolio. Being overweight credit risk was a detractor over the period, as corporate bond spreads widened in the face of geopolitical uncertainty and tightening monetary policy. Sector allocation was positive, as our overweight allocations to more defensive, less cyclical areas of the market, including utilities, gilts and telecommunications, added to returns against a risk-off backdrop. The Fund also saw a strong contribution from being underweight the oil & gas sector, largely through not owning Gazprom bonds, which drastically underperformed following Russia's invasion of Ukraine and the subsequent sanctions before dropping out of the investment grade index altogether. This was offset by negative stock selection from some of our higher-beta holdings, however.

Our overweight subordinated and underweight senior positioning within the banks sector detracted over Q1, reflecting general weakness in risk markets resulting from growing concerns over the impact of the war in Ukraine on global growth. Furthermore, stock selection, particularly in legacy bank bonds, undermined performance, with previous gains eroded as the probability of an early call reduced. This was partially counterbalanced by strong stock selection within the insurance sector.

Our exposure to telecommunications proved the main negative in the non-financials space. This was principally attributable to our holding in Telecom Italia, with the bonds suffering from being a higher-beta high yield name in the broader sell-off, which was subsequently compounded by a particularly weak set of results that led to ratings downgrades and likely further negative ratings pressure. Our allocation to US dollar bonds within telecoms also detracted, as US credit underperformed sterling equivalents.

From a macro perspective, the start of the year has obviously been overshadowed by Russia's invasion of Ukraine, sending shockwaves throughout financial markets. The conflict and resulting fallout have resulted in surging commodity prices, given Russia's position as a major exporter, exacerbating already significant inflation pressures. Risk assets suffered as a result, with equities and bonds both posting negative returns against a backdrop of heightened uncertainty and volatility.

In the UK, inflation reached a 30-year high of 7.0% in March and is forecast to rise above 8% before the end of the year, with risks skewed further to the upside. It is a similar picture in the US, where the level hit a 40-year high of 8.5%, as well as in the Euro area, which saw an all-time high of 7.5%. The ongoing conflict in Ukraine, combined with renewed Covid-19 outbreaks and strict lockdowns in China, are intensifying commodity price rises and supply chain disruption, further adding to mounting inflationary pressures.

Central banks find themselves in a difficult position, with risk of policy error elevated as they try to find the balance between curtailing inflation without compromising growth. As a result, monetary policy came under increased scrutiny over the quarter. At the beginning of the year, central bank messaging had already started to pivot, becoming more hawkish after dropping the word 'transitory' from inflation commentary and citing more broad-based and persistent inflation than anticipated. The BoE followed a first 0.15% hike in December with 25bps rises at its February and March meetings to reach 0.75%. This was driven by inflationary pressures combined with a tight labour market, given continued rises in job vacancies, wage growth and falling unemployment.

The extent of the hawkish shift at the February meeting took markets by surprise, with four of nine Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) members voting for a 50bps hike and the Bank announcing it would begin quantitative tightening by stopping reinvestment of proceeds from maturing government bonds and looking to sell down its corporate bond portfolio. Despite raising rates again in March, however, subsequent commentary struck a more dovish tone, tempering expectations over the number of further hikes this year, predominantly due to concerns over the growth outlook.

Elsewhere, the US also saw a 25bps rate rise in March but unlike the BoE, however, the Fed reiterated its hawkish stance, prioritising taming inflation through a more aggressive hiking cycle. The FOMC dot plot chart is currently indicating another six hikes this year, averaging 25bps per meeting, followed by a four more in 2023. The subsequent Fed minutes highlighted that only uncertainty stemming from the situation in Ukraine prevented a 50bps hike in March, with several officials, including Chair Jay Powell, saying such rises might be appropriate at upcoming meetings to get inflation under control. The outlook for higher rates is supported by ongoing tightening in the labour market, resulting in further wage growth and low levels of unemployment alongside resilient economic data.

Expectations for more aggressive Fed hiking saw a flattening of the US yield curve over Q1. Front-end yields rose dramatically, with two-year yields up 160bps to end the period at 2.34% in line with 10-year Treasuries, as markets priced in more hikes than even the FOMC forecasts. This has increased concerns around the prospect of an inverted yield curve, which is often cited as a potential leading indicator of a recessionary environment. Historically, however, it has proved unreliable, wrongly predicting recessions roughly three times more often than being correct. While risks have increased, we do not believe we are heading towards recession given the resilience of underlying economic data, ample consumer savings and healthy corporate balance sheets.

Despite opting to keep rates on hold, there was also more hawkish rhetoric from the European Central Bank (ECB) to start the year. It announced an accelerated timescale for the reduction of its asset purchase programmes, which are now due to be concluded by September. Meanwhile, President Christine Lagarde also dropped from messaging that a rate hike was off the table for 2022, opening the door for a potential raise before the end of the year following the end of asset purchases. In spite of macro uncertainty, European economic data has proved resilient and continues to be supported by high levels of consumer savings, healthy labour markets and fiscal support packages. Europe remains more vulnerable to the ongoing conflict in Ukraine than either the US or the UK, however, given its reliance on Russian oil and gas coupled with historically high inflation. In response, the European Commission announced plans to reduce dependence on Russian gas by two-thirds by the end of the year and accelerate the transition to renewables, but this is unlikely to be achievable over the short term given the lack of alternatives.

Given the backdrop of heightened uncertainty and volatility, trade activity was relatively modest to start the year. We participated in a new issue from Haleon, a consumer healthcare business formed by the combination of GlaxoSmithKline and Pfizer's consumer healthcare units, which is due to be spun out in Q2. We believe the company demonstrates strong sustainability credentials, aiming to help individuals take responsibility for their health before reaching the healthcare system, with over-the-counter products such as vitamins, toothpaste and painkillers. We also feel the entity has a robust credit profile given its large scale and strong diversification by

geography and product line, with a dominant position across several markets. It is highly cash generative, with resilient cash flows, which should be supportive of its deleveraging ambitions over the coming years.

Elsewhere, we also participated in the new issuance from Peabody, a housing association with the majority of revenues coming from social housing. The bonds came to market with attractive valuations relative to existing paper and comparable peers. In order to fund the new position, we exited our positions in Transport for London and Intercontinental Hotels, which were shorter dated and had held up relatively well on a price basis compared to other positions through the sell-off. We exited our position in Telecom Italia during the period. Following a prospective private equity bid in November 2021, the company's results have deteriorated, leading to ratings downgrades and further negative ratings pressure. While we remain unconvinced a takeover bid will be successful, uncertainty regarding the company's alternative proposal to separate its networks into a standalone business, combined with ongoing weak results, leave risks skewed to the downside.

There were also negative headlines around Annington, one of the UK's largest residential landlords. The company owns the former Ministry of Defence (MoD) estate and now largely rents properties back to the MoD as family accommodation. The MoD stated its intention to force a repurchase of these properties, which could potentially strip Annington of its assets. We believe the MoD's chance of succeeding in the buy-back process is limited, and even if they do, downside risk is low due to the bonds' protective covenants. As such, we made a relative value switch from shorter-dated/lower-cash price bonds into longer-dated/higher-cash price; the latter fell significantly to trade closer to par, which we believe should reverse once the legal challenge is resolved.

In financials, activity was primarily focused on reducing the underweight position to banks through adding to favoured names including Santander, Standard Chartered and Lloyds, following underperformance in the sector that we believe is unjustified. This was funded through reducing our exposure to some high-quality defensive names within utilities and housing associations, which had outperformed on a relative basis. Within insurance, we decreased our position in longer-dated Legal & General notes for longer-dated Prudential notes. While the underlying credit has slightly weaker fundamentals, we believe the pick-up in spread more than offsets this. We also exited our position in Assicurazioni Generali on relative value grounds, rotating the proceeds into more favoured names such as Zurich and Pension Insurance Corporation.

As outlined, we were relatively active in terms of the Fund's duration positioning over Q1. After starting the year with an overall duration short of two years, expressed via one year each to the UK and US, we elected to lengthen overall duration slightly in early February given the speed and scale of the rise in government bond yields. This was done by adding 0.25 years of duration via the US, after 10-year Treasury yields had risen around 50bps to 2%. Following Russia's invasion of Ukraine, government bond yields fell, retracing much of their previous rise before resuming the sell-off in March as central banks began to tighten monetary policy. Once markets had settled somewhat, we shortened duration by 0.25 years through the UK market, given the exacerbated inflation pressures, particularly for energy prices. We also rotated 0.25 years of the short out of the US into the UK given the relative underperformance, with 10-year Treasury yields fast approaching our estimated target range for the year. The Fund ended the period two years short duration relative to its benchmark, expressed via 1.5 years to the UK and 0.5 years to the US. We will continue to actively manage the position in future.

Looking to the rest of the year, risks for financial markets remain elevated: inflationary pressures are set to continue and there is scope for further geopolitical uncertainty from the situation in Europe. We believe the global economy will continue to rebound but have moderated our expectations for growth. While we do not expect growth to slow as much as consensus, we think there will be a moderation as interest rates rise at pace. Inflation pressures continue to be broad-based across a range of segments, with the Ukraine conflict and reintroduction of strict lockdowns in China having a pronounced effect on energy and commodity prices as well as wider supply chain disruption. Given the tightness in labour markets, we expect wage growth to start coming through more strongly to add to the inflation mix.

In the UK, we believe the market is pricing in more rate hikes than the BoE will deliver over the remainder of the year, given concerns over moderating growth and the impact of the rising cost of living on consumer confidence and spending. However, with inflation continuing to overshoot expectations and risks remaining skewed towards the upside, gilt yields will stay under pressure. As for the US, our view is that rising rates and inflation could have similar impacts on growth and there is potential for the Fed to look towards quantitative tightening to combat inflation, putting further upward pressure on Treasury yields.

The hawkish pivot from central banks has seen nominal government bond yields rise materially but this has been outstripped by the pace of inflation, with real yields remaining deeply negative. We therefore continue to favour a short duration position on the Fund given the growth and inflation outlook.

A strong technical environment and healthy corporate balance sheets leave us positive on credit, with valuations less stretched after spread widening so far this year. Credit metrics are broadly strong, with leverage near record lows and interest coverage at record highs, while liquidity continues to be robust with high levels of cash still available, leading to a benign default environment. Higher yields should continue to see demand for the asset class, particularly at the medium and longer end of the market.

We remain committed to our high-quality names within our favoured sectors, which should be relatively well positioned for current conditions. Financials should benefit from rising rates and improving profitability, and are not exposed to potential supply pressures from the unwind of central bank corporate bond holdings, having not been eligible for the programs. Meanwhile, our largest non-financial exposures within the telecommunications and utilities sectors demonstrate resilience and strong credit fundamentals and remain insulated from inflation pressures given their essential services offering and significant pricing power. We are overweight service sectors, which are less susceptible to inflation eroding profitability margins, and underweight manufacturing sectors, which are particularly vulnerable to rising input prices and reduced consumer demand.

Discrete years' performance*, to previous quarter-end: Past performance does not predict future returns

	Mar-22	Mar-21	Mar-20	Mar-19	Mar-18
Liontrust Sustainable Future Corporate Bond 2 Inc	-5.7%	12.9%	-1.4%	2.6%	2.6%
iBoxx Sterling Corporate All Maturities	-5.5%	10.1%	0.0%	4.1%	1.6%
IA Sterling Corporate Bond	-4.2%	9.0%	0.8%	3.0%	1.7%
Quartile	4	1	4	3	4

^{*}Source: FE Analytics, as at 31.03.22, primary share class, total return, net of fees and interest reinvested.

Key Risks and Disclaimer

[†]Past performance is not a guide to future performance. The value of an investment and the income generated from it can fall as well as rise and is not guaranteed. You may get back less than you originally invested. The issue of units/shares in Liontrust Funds may be subject to an initial charge, which will have an impact on the realisable value of the investment, particularly in the short term. Investments should always be considered as long term. Investment in the Fund involves foreign currencies and may be subject to fluctuations in value due to movements in exchange rates. The value of fixed income securities will fall if the issuer is unable to repay its debt or has its credit rating reduced. Generally, the higher the perceived credit risk of the issuer, the higher the rate of interest. The Distribution Yield is also the Underlying Yield for this fund. Issued by Liontrust Fund Partners LLP (2 Savoy Court, London WC2R 0EZ), authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority (FRN 518165) to undertake regulated investment business. This document should not be construed as advice for investment in any product or security mentioned, an offer to buy or sell units/shares of Funds mentioned, or a solicitation to purchase securities in any company or investment product. Examples of stocks are provided for general information only to demonstrate our investment philosophy. It contains information and analysis that is believed to be accurate at the time of publication, but is subject to change without notice. While care has been taken in compiling the content of this document, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made by Liontrust as to its accuracy or completeness, including for external sources (which may have been used) which have not been verified. It should not be copied, forwarded, reproduced, divulged or otherwise distributed in any form whether by way of fax, email, oral or otherwise, in whole or in part without the express and prior written consent of Liontrust. Always research your own investments and if you are not a professional investor, please consult a regulated financial adviser regarding the suitability of such an investment for you and your personal circumstances. 22/275